

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/812,359                              | 03/30/2004                              | Youichi Zenda        | 001309.00060 4112   |                  |  |
|   | 7590 04/26/2007<br>/ITCOFF, LTD.        | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 1100 13th STREET, N.W.                  |   |                      | ING, MATTHEW W      |                  |  |
| SUITE 1200<br>WASHINGTO                 | N, DC 20005-4051                        |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |                      | 3637                |                  |  |
|   |   |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |   |                      | 04/26/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/812,359      | ZENDA ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| Matthew W. Ing  | 3637         |  |  |

|  | LAGIIIIIGI  | Artonit  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| ·  | Matthew W. Ing  | 3637   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the d   | orrespondence add  | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF   |   |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:   | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| <ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>   | •   | in the final rejection, wh                                       | ichever is later In                        |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | g date of the final rejecti                                      | on.  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  |   | FIRST REPLY WAS F  | ILED WITHIN                                |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date   | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1  | 36(a) and the appropria  | te extension fee                           |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL   | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origi<br>r than three months after the mailing da                              | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi              | iate extension fee<br>ce action: or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be   | filed within two month   | ns of the date of                          |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th  | e appeal. Since                            |
| AMENDMENTS   | ·   |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief,  | will <u>not</u> be entered b                                     | ecause                                     |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   | I E below);  | •  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or   |   | ducing or simplifying  | the issues for                             |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rei   | ected claims   |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |   | mpliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324)                                 |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   | :   |  | (  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |   |  | -  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE.  | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil<br>vided below or appended.  | l be entered and an e  | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: NONE.  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 4,7,10 and 13-18.   | •   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.   |   |  | •  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  | •  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | it before or on the date of filing a No<br>d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>it or other evidence is        | t be entered and necessary and             |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | vercome all rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fai  | ls to provide a                            |
| <ol><li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li></ol>   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach  | ied.                                       |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application ir   | condition for allowar<br>ص                                       | nce because:                               |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  | 2//  |  |
| 1  | · /3  | 10////   |  |
| The state of the s | No.   | se & Chen  |  |
|  | P/ime   | ary Examiner   | •  |
|  | . <i>1</i>  |  |  |

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amendment of claim 10 to read upon a cancelled claim renders the scope of this claim indefinite, and thus presents a new issue.

Although claim 4, in the proposed amendment to the claims, contains allowable subject matter, this claim would still be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, since said claim fails to recite sufficient structural elements and interconnection of the elements to positively position and define the structure(s) whereby the free motion rotating body is supported by the object; and whereby the rear end side of the object is supported in the box body; and whereby the object, having its front end side supported by the free motion rotating body, can simultaneously provide support to the free motion rotating body (as suggested by lines 7-10 of claim 4).

With regard to claim 4, it is noted that replacement of the word "wherein", in line 1, with the phrase "comprising means whereby", would receive favorable consideration. Additionally, it is noted that replacement of the phrase "capable of being suspended", in line 9, with "movably mounted" would receive favorable consideration. Additionally, it is noted that inclusion of the phrase ", contacts, and" after the word "body", in line 11, would receive favorable consideration. Additionally, it is noted that inclusion of the word "directly" before the word "connected", in line 14, would receive favorable consideration.

Further regarding claim 4, it is noted that definition of two positions - one in which the object is initially moved and the free motion rotating body is supported by the object through a rail & rotor at a position floating from the floor; and another in which the bottom end of the free motion rotating body directly contacted the floor and the free motion rotating body supports the front end side of the object by making a rolling movement - would receive favorable consideration.

Regarding claim 15, it is noted that deletion of the phrase "a body", in line 2, would receive favorable consideration.

Regarding claim 18, it is noted that replacement of the word "object", in line 2, with the word "drawer" would receive favorable consideration.